URG PROPOSAL: ARIANNE URUS

The Enlightenment marks a pivotal era in the movement away from the traditional view of women as unruly temptresses toward the emergence of more ‘modern’ constructions of women as, above all else, chaste wives and mothers. French writers—most notably, Jean-Jacques Rousseau—heralded a shift in constructions of femininity in a novel way; Rousseau popularized the view of women as a collective, and talked about the nature of women in general, rather than simply women of a certain social status. At the same time, however, an influx of young single women migrated to Paris in search of work in a labor market that quickly became oversaturated, leaving them no choice but to turn to prostitution, either periodically or permanently, to make ends meet. My project investigates how the change in gender ideology of the eighteenth century affected the social realities of Parisian prostitutes from 1750 to 1789. My research will take place in Paris at the Archives de la Bastille from mid-June to early August, and seeks to better understand the relationship between gender ideology and social reality.

In the eighteenth century prostitutes were increasingly considered to be outside the sphere of womanhood. While earlier conceptions held that prostitutes, like all women, were slaves to their libidos, the Enlightenment transformed these ideas into charges of deviance. As the century progressed, more and more Parisians began to talk about ideas of femininity in relation to the public sphere as newsheets and pamphlets on the subject proliferated and literacy rates in the city increased. This was especially true in light of the Diamond Necklace Affair of the 1780s, when a prostitute impersonated Marie Antoinette, raising questions about the place of women in the public sphere.

Meanwhile, contemporary writer Louis-Sébastien Mercier observed that there were at least 30,000 prostitutes in the city who thought that laws such as Louis XIV’s 1684 ordinance on vice did not apply to them. In the late 1760s, 2,069 women were arrested for prostitution, and by 1789 around 13 percent of females aged between 15 and 50 were engaged in sex work. Elisabeth Julie Lacroix, for example, was a 49-year-old woman arrested in 1778, who had been abandoned by her husband, out of work four to five days, and without food for one day. Her story is replicated countless times, and it is women with stories like these who I wish to study. There is a wealth of secondary literature on the topic of prostitution in eighteenth-century Paris, but nowhere are the lives of individual prostitutes analyzed within a collective framework. Current scholarship tells us that prostitution was an important phenomenon in this time and place, while preparing readers for her particular interest and methodology—examining the lives of individual women.

In Arianne’s “background,” she conveys broad knowledge that helps situate the specifics of her project (which she addresses in more detail in the next paragraph). This strategy not only provides pertinent information for readers, but it also demonstrates that she understands the period and context well enough to make a meaningful contribution with her research.

Arianne takes a “funnel” approach of introducing her topic with a general statement about gender ideology in the period and then transitioning to her specific research focus. This strategy works well for establishing the context and orienting the reader. These two sentences are a fine example of an introductory statement of the purpose and nature of the research—two things the reader will want to understand right away.

This literature review efficiently acknowledges the existing literature while still pointing toward the project’s particular focus. Arianne might have started a new paragraph here to signal the beginning of the literature review.

These details establish the significance of the project. Arianne makes good use of numbers to show that prostitution was an important phenomenon in this time and place, while preparing readers for her particular interest and methodology—examining the lives of individual women.
and Erica-Marie Benabou has written a thorough study of the social realities of prostitutes’ lives. Most of this work, however, fails to bring together women’s history with the history of gender ideologies. Feminist approaches to prostitution stress the need to recognize the institution within the wider context of gender ideology, social organization, and economic developments; I propose to do exactly this in the case of eighteenth-century Paris. I will merge the two separate spheres of literature on the subject to provide a more complex and nuanced understanding of the relationship between the new gender ideology and prostitutes’ lives. My approach aligns with the current direction of the historiography, that is, to reincorporate ‘the social’ in eighteenth-century France, and I hope that my research will be on the forefront of this new scholarship.

I will travel to Paris this summer and closely analyze police records in the Archives de la Bastille at the Bibliothèque de l’Arsenal to explore the intersection of gender ideology and the social reality at the ground level. Prostitutes did not leave behind their own writings, so I must rely on the police records — based on daily interactions between police and prostitutes—as a medium through which to gain access to their lives. By reading police reports on prostitution I expect not only to learn about what happened to prostitutes on a daily basis, but also to determine the degree to which Rousseauian ideas trickled down to the police, and possibly the prostitutes themselves. Were the police exposed to the changing gender ideology, and did they invoke these new ideas in their own discourse? If so, did it affect the way they wrote about prostitutes? Did they try to enforce these new ideas on them? How much control did prostitutes have of their own activities, vis-à-vis brothel proprietors and the police? Did these women, at the bottom of society, challenge the monolithic discourse on women articulated by the male literary elite and invoked by the police? If the police did not see the prostitutes as within the sphere of womanhood, could they live in a freer or less restrained fashion than their more reputable counterparts?

To answer these questions, I will mine the police records in the Archives de la Bastille (please see appendix for a specific list). Rather than approaching the documents to learn about prostitution as an institution, I will approach the documents with a mind to discover the realities of prostitutes’ lives through their daily interactions with the police. The Bastille documents are more than simple records of arrests. Indeed, eighteenth-century Paris witnessed an information-gathering craze in which police spies gathered comprehensive information reports on various segments of the population; for prostitutes these included anecdotes describing daily events, notes on the habits, appearance, requests, and sometimes conversation of their clientele, as well as short biographies of the women. Records such as these from at least seven brothels in the 1750s and 1760s survive in the Archives de la Bastille. In conducting my social study I will examine materials I have already identified as potentially useful and evaluate these women seriously as social actors.

I took a research seminar with graduate student Britt Petersen on the Old Regime in France in which I specifically studied prostitution, and I have also taken classes in both eighteenth and twentieth-century French

Arianne properly acknowledges the limitations of available sources, but then makes a good case, in the following paragraph, for why these sources are nonetheless useful for her project.

In the first sentences of this paragraph, Arianne shows that she has the language skills and experience needed for her research. She demonstrates knowledge of how to accomplish archival research (e.g., the importance of letters of introduction and of contacting archivists in advance). To convey the understanding that she will need help along the way, she notes that she has made contact with someone working in this archive who will be there at the same time.

Arianne rightly recognizes that her sources are familiar to, and much used by, experts in the field. Here, she seizes an opportunity to both subtly acknowledge that fact and distinguish her work from that of others.

While the previous sentence spoke to the experts in the field, this summary of the information contained in the police records enables the non-specialist to understand why such documents are viable sources for this kind of project.
history at Rice and Northwestern universities. I can read, write, and speak French comfortably, and was pleased to participate in the Rencontres Internationales des Jeunes program—an all-expenses paid trip to Paris awarded by the Chicago French Consulate—last summer, which allowed me to experience French culture firsthand. My advisor, Professor Sarah Maza, has written a letter of introduction to Bruno Blasselle, the director of the archives on my behalf, and he has agreed to let me work there. I have also been in contact with historian Lisa Graham, who has extensive experience working with the sources I am interested in at the Archives de la Bastille. She will be conducting research in the Arsenal this summer, and has offered to meet with me while I am there. I am therefore prepared to spend time on my own living and conducting research in Paris. I also have experience conducting archival research, which I gained as an intern for the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation last summer where I researched the history of a block in the East Village at the New York City Municipal Archives. This skill has whetted my appetite to do more archival work and has acculturated me to the trials and rewards of such an endeavor.

This project fits into my academic career because I will use the summer research to write a senior thesis next year. I intend to pursue a graduate degree in history in the future, and hope to find a career that will allow me to continue studying the lives of women who have traditionally been relegated to the margins of history.
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ARCHIVES DE LA BASTILLE AT THE BIBLIOTHÈQUE DE L’ARSENAL
RECORDS OF INTEREST
1. 10,234
   a. 4ième cahier (1 janvier 1752-8 mai 1753)
   b. 5ième cahier (9 mai 1753-15 juillet 1754)
   c. 6ième cahier (16 juillet 1754-30 septembre 1756)
   d. 7ième cahier (1 octobre 1756-10 février 1757)
2. 10235-10237
   a. daily papers for Lieutenant de Police
3. 10,238-10,242
   a. (bulletins rédigés par l’inspecteur de police Meusnier sur la vie des filles galantes de Paris les plus remarquées, 1749-1758)
4. 10,243
   a. notes, rapports, et papiers de l’inspecteur de police Meusnier, chargé de la partie des moeurs; notes sur la ville galantes de Paris, 1748-1755
5. 10, 244
   a. notes, rapports, et papiers de l’inspecteur de police Meusnier, chargé de la partie des moeurs
   b. 1ième cahier
      i. quelques aventures galantes (1750-1754)
6. Rapports sur les prêtres débauchés, Arsenal, Bastille mss. 10246
   a. Brothel reports focusing on the clergy
7. 10,248
   a. notes, rapports, et papiers de l’inspecteur de police Meusnier, chargé de la partie des moeurs; papiers de l’inspecteur Meusnier de son successeur à la surveillance des moeurs, l’inspecteur Marais
   b. 1ième cahier
      i. surveillance des particuliers. Aventures galantes. Signalements (1750-1757)
   c. 2ième cahier
i. notes de l’inspecteur Meusnier sur les plaints et réclamations portées à la Lieutenant de police (1749-1757)

8. 10,252-10,253
   a. des rapports venus des maîtresses de maisons de débauche
   b. 1ième cahier
      i. rapports des inspecteurs de police charges de la partie des moeurs, particulièrement des inspecteurs Meusnier et Marais, sur les “petites maisons scütées aux environs de Paris, avec les noms des propriétaires et de ceux qui les occupant (1749-1758)
   c. 3ième cahier
      i. rapports des inspecteurs de police charges de la partie de moeurs, particulièrement des inspecteurs Meusnier et Marais, sur la vie privée de quelques gentilshommes et hauts personnages des Paris (1749-1771)
      ii. 4ième cahier
         1. rapports adressés au Lieutenant général de police par les maîtresses de maisons de débauche (1752-1755)
   d. Rapports venus des maîtresses de maisons de débauches, Bastille mss. 10252-53.
      i. Two boxes of material from the Bastille, now kept at the Arsenal.
         1. Arsenal, Bastille mss. 10252, 120
            a. Inspectors reported on themselves and other police agents fairly casually
         ii. Arsenal, Bastille mss. 10253, 19.
            1. October 1757 letter to the General Inspector of Police from a brothel proprietor complaining that Inspector Marais visited too frequently
   e. Rapport d’inspecteurs de police sur les aventures galant, Arsenal, Bastille, unpaginated mss. 10252, 35

9. 10256, 10259-10267
   a. les sodomites
10. 11069-12149
    a. Dossiers de prisonniers
11. 12692-12695
    a. Salpêtrière 1719-1765

CORRESPONDENCE
From Bruno Blasselle, director of the archives at the Arsenal:
Chère madame,
Nous accueillerons bien volontiers mademoiselle Urus cet été. Nous lui établirons une carte de lecteur et elle aura accès aux collections de la bibliothèque. Veuillez agréer, chère madame, l'expression de ma considération distinguée.
Bruno Blasselle
Directeur de la bibliothèque de l'Arsenal
bruno.blasselle@bnf.fr

M. Blasselle agreed to accommodate me in the archives this summer.

From Professor Lisa J. Graham, associate professor of history and department chair at Haverford College, who will be working at the Archives de la Bastille at the Arsenal this summer:
Dear Arianne:
You have selected an ambitious and interesting topic for your senior thesis and I definitely think you could find some relevant sources over the summer. […] If you make it to Paris this summer, send me an email and I would be happy to meet you. I tend to work at the Arsenal pretty regularly.
Regards,
Professor Graham